

Easterling, Deborah

From: joe4ocean@aim.com
Sent: Thursday, October 23, 2014 2:10 PM
To: PSC_Contact; Scott, Dukes
Cc: PSC_Contact; joe4ocean@aim.com; news@free-times.com; news19@wltx.com
Subject: In behalf of SCANA(NYSE: SCG) kWh overcharges.
Attachments: A_Petition2Halt14-10-23.doc

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2014

PSC SC
MAIL/DMS

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Enclosed is

IN BEHALF OF MILLIONS VICTIMS BEING OVERCHARGED WITH ELECRIC kWh rates
PETITION to HALT the NEW SCE&G TARIFF.

*You know that SCANA lawyers never did and cannot meet BLRA Definition.
This way to cover costs of the nuclear project in Jenkinsville is wrong and unethical
GA got \$6.5 billion for their twin project so SC could do the same.
The Criminal negligence made millions of victims including veterans and retirees.
PSC still have a legal and humanitarian chance to end this scandal as was done for Enron
in 2001.*

*Sincerely,
Joseph Wojcicki - the energy consulatnt and ex-intervenor.*

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA
In the Supreme Court

RECEIVED

OCT 23 2014

**PSC SC
MAIL / DMS**

APPEAL FROM
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA.
PSC docket No. 2014-187-E
Case No. 2014 -00____
The State of South Carolina
Joseph Edward Wojcicki - the advocate for SCANA/SCE&G victims.
Appellant,

V.

South Carolina Electric and Gas/SCANA, South Carolina Office
Of Regulatory Staff /Public Service Commission,
Respondents.

IN BEHALF OF MILLIONS VICTIMS BEING OVERCHARGED WITH ELECTRIC

kWh rates

PETITION to HALT the NEW SCE&G TARIFF.

Joseph Edward Wojcicki

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125

The energy Consultant, FCA of BLRA technical
investigator and the only one independent relator.

In behalf of millions of misrepresented victims.

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA In The Supreme Court

(A) _ Appellate Case No. 2014-002121_ ORDER 2014-10-09-01

[http://hosted2.ap.org/APDEFAULT/3d281c11a96b4ad082fe88aa0db04305/Article 2014-10-09-US-Gay-Marriage-South-Carolina/id-7681abc03d82414da24ac6d3e41470bc](http://hosted2.ap.org/APDEFAULT/3d281c11a96b4ad082fe88aa0db04305/Article%202014-10-09-US-Gay-Marriage-South-Carolina/id-7681abc03d82414da24ac6d3e41470bc)

Has halted an action, which comparing to the interest of S.C. State economy, millions of residents and businesses shall not be put on the list of the priorities above SCANA scandalous False Claimed Assumption of Base Load Review Act (FCA of BLRA).

(B) From _ Appellate Case No. 2013-000529 Opinion No. 27456 Heard April 16, 2014 – Filed October 22, 2014 [27456 - SC Energy Users Committee v. SCE&G](#)

cited:

“STANDARD OF REVIEW”

<http://www.judicial.state.sc.us/opinions/HTMLFiles/SC/27456.pdf>

__ (stating that because **the Commission is an "expert"** in utility rates, "the role of a court reviewing such decisions is very limited, (quoting *Patton v. Pub. Serv. Comm'n of S.C.*, 280 S.C. 288, 291, 312 S.E.2d 257, 259 (1984)))"

and

“[b]ecause the Commission's findings are presumptively correct, the party challenging the Commission's order bears the burden of convincingly proving the decision is clearly erroneous, or arbitrary or capricious, or an abuse of discretion, in view of the substantial evidence of the record as a whole.”

__ I. Statutory Construction

“The purpose of the BLRA "is to provide for the recovery of the prudently incurred costs associated with **new base load plants**" = sorry - they will NOT be base load!

__ “Therefore, the objectives of the BLRA are:

to allow SCE&G to recover its "prudently incurred costs" associated with the nuclear facility; and (2) **to protect customers "from responsibility for imprudent financial obligations or costs."** => because of FCA of BLRA customers SHALL be PROTECTED!

CONCLUSION

When in 1984 PSC could be an expert, it is not now, especially in the 2007 Base Load Review Act with its unmet definition and because PSC has no engineer in its team ready to challenge the Engineering Analysis of FCA of BLRA submitted to docket 2014-187-E.

We the People ask this Court to **halt** the new SCE&G Application of South Carolina Electric & Gas Company for Approval to Revise Rates under the Base Load Review Act - **Revised Rate Tariffs Filed Pursuant to Commission Order No. 2014-785**

(PSC docket 2014-187 – matter ID 252772 dated 2014-10-01)

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph E. Wojcicki

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125

October 23, 2014

SERVICE: Listed below are parties to receive eCopies via email:

SCE&G

ORS

PSC

from joe4ocean@aim.com